RPT Nov 19th Minutes

• Integrating Diverse Perspectives Continued

- Members broke into three small groups to revisit and build on the previous conversation around integrating diverse perspectives in the RPT.
- Key themes from group discussions:
 - Facilitation techniques like structured roundtables, intentional silence, and written input options can improve accessibility and participation.
 - Early sharing of materials, clear instructions, and varied engagement tools (e.g. polls, visual mapping, energy check-ins) support different cognitive styles.
 - Members supported more regular use of small group discussions and individual reflection time in meetings.

• Discussion on CAT(Community Advisory Table) Restructuring / Role Clarity

- Jacob provided context on the CAT (Community Advisory Table), its purpose, and current structure.
- Members raised concerns about:
 - i. Lack of clarity around CAT's role and connection to RPT work.
 - ii. Burnout and unclear expectations for CAT members, especially those who also serve on the RPT.
 - iii. Lack of feedback loops from CAT to RPT and vice versa.
- Several members, including CAT/RPT dual participants, expressed concern that the CAT's work isn't being meaningfully acted on or valued.
- There was a broader conversation on systemic inertia and how advisory tables often fail to create tangible change.

Decisions/Next Steps:

- Jacob will take RPT feedback back to the CAT for continued two-way dialogue.
- RPT members agreed to prioritize community-led feedback loops and seek clarity on CAT's input structures.

Transparency and Budgeting with CAT

- CAT members requested more transparency around engagement budgets and honoraria.
- Current honorarium structure was explained: \$8,000/year total; most of this is allocated to CAT meetings and CAT-RPT dual members.
 Jonathan clarified that FSO is the transfer payment agency, but decision-making about funds lies with the RPT.
- Several members noted that seeing the actual numbers (budget line items) would help make more informed decisions about fairness and priorities.

Toolkit Endorsement and Approval

• The CAT-developed toolkit is complete and ready for distribution.

- The group discussed whether RPT organizational members would endorse it by adding their logos.
- Concerns included:
 - i. Time required for internal approvals at large organizations (e.g., TOH, CHEO).
 - ii. Potential misalignment with Ontario Health East's official mandate for the RPT
 - iii. Tension between timely publication and institutional review processes.

Decisions/Next Steps:

- Organizations with formal review processes (e.g., TOH, CHEO) will explore endorsement timelines internally and report back.
- The group considered publishing without full logo endorsement, or issuing a second edition once more endorsements are secured.

Updates and Announcements:

- Holly New Quality Standards Released by OH for GAC Adults
 - New Quality Standards for GAC adults have been released by OH. The guidelines for children and youth will be released next for public consultation and feedback. This is an important update for the RPT.
- Reminder: No RPT meeting in December